

Differentiably nondegenerate Meromorphic mappings on Kähler manifolds weakly sharing hyperplanes

Si Duc Quang (Hanoi, Vietnam)

Tran Duc Ngoc (Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam)

Do Thi Thuy Hang (Hanoi, Vietnam)

(Received Sep. 05, 2024)

Abstract. In this paper, we study the uniqueness problem for differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings from a Kähler manifold into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying a condition (C_ρ) and sharing hyperplanes in general position, where the condition $f^{-1}(H) = g^{-1}(H)$ for some hyperplanes H is replaced by a weaker one that $f^{-1}(H) \subseteq g^{-1}(H)$. An improvement on the algebraic dependence problem of differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings is also given. Moreover, in this case, the condition $f^{-1}(H) \subseteq g^{-1}(H)$ is even omitted for some hyperplanes.

1. Introduction

Let M be an m -dimensional complete connected Kähler manifold, whose universal covering is biholomorphic to a ball $\mathbb{B}(R_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m; \|z\| < R_0\}$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$). Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping from M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. For $\rho \geq 0$, we say that f satisfies the condition (C_ρ) if there exists a nonzero bounded continuous real-valued function h on M such that

$$\rho\Omega_f + dd^c \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega,$$

Key words and phrases: Kähler manifold, uniqueness theorem, meromorphic mapping, hyperplane.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32H30, 32A22; Secondary 30D35.

where Ω_f is the full-back of the Fubini-Study form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i,j} h_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ is the Kähler form on M , $\text{Ric } \omega = dd^c \log(\det(h_{i\bar{j}}))$, $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ and $d^c = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$. The mapping f is said to be differentially nondegenerate if there is a point $z_0 \in M$ such that the differential mapping $df(z_0)$ is surjective.

In 1981, S. Drouilhet [1] proved that if two differentially nondegenerate meromorphic mappings f and g from \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ have the same inverse image for a hypersurface of degree at least $n+4$ with only normal crossing intersection and $f = g$ on the inverse image of this hypersurface, then $f \equiv g$. Afterward, in 1986, H. Fujimoto [4] proved the following uniqueness theorem for meromorphic mappings on a complete Kähler manifold, which satisfy the (C_ρ) condition, sharing q hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position with $q > n + 3 + 2n\rho$. The result of H. Fujimoto implies the result of S. Drouilhet for the case where the hypersurface is the sum of at least $n + 4$ hyperplanes in general position (as divisors). In 2022, K. Zhou and L. Jin [11] considered the case of meromorphic mappings from \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ where the condition $f^{-1}(H_i) = g^{-1}(H_i)$ is replaced by a weaker one that $f^{-1}(H_i) \subseteq g^{-1}(H_i)$ for some hyperplanes H_i . They proved the following.

Theorem A (see [11, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be meromorphic mappings. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position with $f(\mathbb{C}^m) \not\subseteq H_j, g(\mathbb{C}^m) \not\subseteq H_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$ and $\dim f^{-1}(H_i \cap H_j) \leq m - 2$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq q$. Suppose that:*

- (a) $f^{-1}(H_j) = g^{-1}(H_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$, and $f^{-1}(H_j) \subseteq g^{-1}(H_j)$ for $p < j \leq q$,
- (b) $f \equiv g$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^q f^{-1}(H_j)$.

Then $f = g$ if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) f or g is nonconstant and $p = 2n + 2, q > 3n + 3 - 2\sqrt{n}$.
- (ii) f or g is linearly nondegenerate and $p = 2n + 2, q \geq 2n + 3$.
- (iii) f or g is nonconstant and $p = 2n + 1, q \geq 4n + 3$.
- (iv) Both f and g are linearly nondegenerate and $p = n + 2, q \geq n^3 + n^2 + n + 4$.

Recently, in [8] the first author extended Theorem A to the case of meromorphic mappings on Kähler manifold. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem B (See [8]). *Let M be a complete, connected Kähler manifold whose universal covering is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{B}(R_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$). Let $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings satisfying a condition (C_ρ) . Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position with $\dim f^{-1}(H_i \cap H_j) \leq m - 2$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq q$, such that*

- (i) $f^{-1}(H_i) = g^{-1}(H_i) \forall 1 \leq i \leq p, f^{-1}(H_i) \subseteq g^{-1}(H_i) \forall p+1 \leq i \leq q,$
(ii) $f = g$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^q f^{-1}(H_i),$

where $n+2 \leq p \leq 2n+2$. Then $f \equiv g$ if

$$q > 2n+2 + pn \left(\frac{n+1}{p-n-1} - 1 \right) + 2\rho \left(\ell_f + \frac{n+1}{p-n-1} \ell_g \right)$$

or $q > 2n+1 + pn \left(\frac{n}{p-n-1} - \frac{n-1}{n} \right) + 2\rho \left(\ell_f + \frac{n}{p-n-1} \ell_g \right).$

Here, ℓ_f and ℓ_g are positive integers depending on f and g , respectively, and in generally bounded above by $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. For the case where f and g are differentiably nondegenerate we have $\ell_f = \ell_g = n$ and the assumption of Theorem B is fulfilled with $n+2 \leq p \leq 2n+2$ and $q > 2n+2 + n^2(n+2) + 2\frac{pn\rho}{p-n-1}$. This estimate of the number q is actually very far from the sharp. Our first aim in this paper is to study the above problem for the case of differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings. We will give an optimal estimate for the number q of hyperplanes involving the theorem. Namely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a complete, connected Kähler manifold whose universal covering is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{B}(R_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$). Let $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings, which satisfy the condition (C_ρ) . Let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position and let $n+2 \leq p \leq n+3 < q$. Assume that:*

- (1) $f^{-1}(H_i) = g^{-1}(H_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p, f^{-1}(H_i) \subseteq g^{-1}(H_i)$ for $p+1 \leq i \leq q,$
(2) $f = g$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^q f^{-1}(H_i).$

Then $f \equiv g$ if any one of the following condition satisfied:

- (a) $p = n+2$ and $q > 2n+5+4n\rho.$
(b) $p = n+3$ and $q > n+3+2n\rho.$

Then, our result implies the above mentioned result of S. Drouilhet and H. Fujimoto for the case of hyperplane targets. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will prove a key lemma (see Lemma 3.2 in Section 3), by which we can estimate the growth of the auxiliary functions. With the useful of Lemma 3.2, we may prove the following algebraic dependence theorem of differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings on Kähler manifolds, where the condition “ $f^{-1}(H_i) \subseteq g^{-1}(H_i) \forall p+1 \leq i \leq q$ ” as above is omitted.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $f^1, \dots, f^k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be k differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings, which satisfy the condition (C_ρ) . Let ℓ, p and q be positive integers with $n + 2 \leq p \leq q$ and $2 \leq \ell \leq k$. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position such that*

$$(1) (f^u)^{-1}(H_i) = (f^1)^{-1}(H_i) \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ and } 2 \leq u \leq k,$$

$$(2) f^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{i_\ell} = 0 \text{ on } \bigcup_{1 \leq i_\ell \leq q} (f^1)^{-1}(H_i) \text{ for every } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq k.$$

Then $f^1 \wedge \dots \wedge f^k \equiv 0$ if $q > n+1 + \frac{1}{k-\ell+1} \left(1 + \frac{p(k-1)}{p-n-1}\right) + 2n\rho \left(1 + \frac{(k-1)}{(k-\ell+1)(p-n-1)}\right)$.

In this paper, we only study the uniqueness problem of meromorphic mappings. But our method can be applied to study the finiteness problem of differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mappings under this *weakly sharing hyperplanes condition*. We refer the readers to the works [6, 7] for this subject. However, the computation in that case certainly very complicate, because there are many more parameters involved. Then, that problem is still an interesting open question.

2. Auxiliary results

Let ν be a divisor on $\mathbb{B}(R_0)$, which is usually regarded as a function from $\mathbb{B}(R_0)$ into \mathbb{Z} . The support $\text{Supp } \nu$ is defined as the closure of the set $\{z | \nu(z) \neq 0\}$. For a positive integer k (may be $+\infty$), we define $\nu^{[k]}(z) = \min\{\nu(z), k\}$ and

$$n^{[k]}(t, \nu) := \begin{cases} \int_{\text{Supp } \nu \cap B(t)} \nu^{[k]} v_{m-1} & \text{if } m \geq 2, \text{ where } v_{m-1}(z) = (dd^c \|z\|^2)^{m-1}, \\ \sum_{|z| \leq t} \nu^{[k]}(z) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

The truncated counting function to level k of ν is defined by

$$N^{[k]}(r, r_0; \nu) := \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n^{[k]}(t, \nu)}{t^{2m-1}} dt \quad (r_0 < r < R_0).$$

We omit the character $^{[k]}$ if $k = +\infty$.

Let φ be a non-zero meromorphic function on $\mathbb{B}(R_0)$. We denote by ν_φ^0 (resp. ν_φ^∞) the divisor of zeros (resp. divisor of poles) of φ and set $\nu_\varphi = \nu_\varphi^0 - \nu_\varphi^\infty$. For convenience, we will write $N_\varphi(r, r_0)$ and $N_\varphi^{[k]}(r, r_0)$ for $N(r, r_0; \nu_\varphi^0)$ and $N^{[k]}(r, r_0; \nu_\varphi^0)$, respectively.

Let $f : \mathbb{B}(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping. Fix a homogeneous coordinates system $(w_0 : \dots : w_n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. We take a reduced representation

$f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$ and set $\|f\| = \left(|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2\right)^{1/2}$. The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{\|z\|=r} \log \|f\| \sigma_m - \int_{\|z\|=r_0} \log \|f\| \sigma_m, \quad 0 < r_0 < r < R_0,$$

where $\sigma_m(z) = d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1}$. Here and throughout this paper, we assume that the numbers r_0 and R_0 are fixed with $0 < r_0 < R_0$.

If f is differentiably nondegenerate then there exists $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (N^m)^{n+1}$ with $\alpha_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{im})$, $|\alpha_i| = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} = 1$ such that

$$W_\alpha(f_0, \dots, f_n) = \det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_j}(f_i))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0.$$

The tuple $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is call an admissible set of f , and the function $W_\alpha(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is called a genelized Wronskian of f .

Let H be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, we (throughout this paper) also denote by the same letter H a linear form defining H , i.e., we may write

$$H(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{1j} x_j.$$

We set

$$H(f) := a_0 f_0 + \cdots + a_n f_n.$$

Then, the function $H(f)$ depends on the choice of the local reduced representation of f . However, its zero divisor $\nu_{H(f)}$ does not depend on this choice and hence it is well-defined.

Proposition 2.1 (see [4, Proposition 2.12]). *Let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Let f be a differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mapping from the ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with a reduced representation $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ and let $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be an admissible set of f . Then, for $0 < r_0 < R_0$ and $0 < tn < p < 1$, there exists a positive constant K such that for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,*

$$\int_{\|z\|=r} \left| z^{\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n} \frac{W_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(f_0, \dots, f_n)}{H_1(f) \cdots H_q(f)} \right|^t \cdot \|f\|^{t(q-n-1)} \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_f(R, r_0) \right)^p.$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [9, Theorem 2.1, p.320]). *Let A be a pure $(m-1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$). Let ℓ and k be integers with $1 \leq \ell \leq k \leq n+1$. Let $f_j : \mathbb{B}^m(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, be meromorphic mappings. Assume that $f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_\ell} = 0$ on A for every $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\ell \leq k$. Then we have $\nu_{f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_k}}(z) \geq k - \ell + 1$ for all $z \in A$.*

3. Proof of Main Results

We firstly prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a differentially nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of a ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ in \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ ($m \geq n$) with a reduced representation $(f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$. Let H_0, \dots, H_q be q ($\geq n$) hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N}^m)^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha_0| = 0, |\alpha_i| = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $W := \det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i} f_j; 0 \leq i, j \leq n) \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^q \nu_{H_i(f)} - \nu_W \leq \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)}^{[1]}.$$

Proof. Consider a fixed point a , which is a regular point of the analytic set $\text{Supp} \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)}$ and is not in the indeterminacy locus of f . Since $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^q$ is in general position, a is zero of at most n functions $H_i(f)$. We may suppose that

$$\nu_{H_0(f)}(a) \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{H_\ell(f)}(a) \geq 0 = \nu_{H_{\ell+1}(f)}(z_0) = \cdots = \nu_{H_q(f)}(a) \quad (\ell \leq n-1).$$

We note that $W = C \det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i} H_j(f))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with a nonzero constant C . Also, we may assume that

$$\alpha_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \alpha_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \alpha_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{n\text{-th}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Take a local affine coordinates (U, x) around a , where U is a neighborhood of a in $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, $x(a) = (0, \dots, 0)$ such that $\text{Supp} \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)} \cap U = \{x_1 = 0\} \cap U$.

By reducing U if necessary, we may suppose that $\text{Supp} \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)} \cap U = \{H_i(f) = 0\} \cap U$ ($0 \leq i \leq \ell$) and $H_j(f)$ ($\ell+1 \leq j \leq q$) does not vanishes on U . Then, $H_i(f) = x_1^{t_i} g_j$ ($0 \leq i \leq \ell$) with some holomorphic function g_j . Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right) = \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial z_i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right) \quad (0 \leq j \leq n-1)$$

and

$$\nu_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right)}(a) \geq \begin{cases} t_j - 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ t_j & \text{if } s > 1, \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$W = C H_n(f)^{n+1} \det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right) \right)_{i \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_W(a) &\geq \min \left\{ \nu_{\det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_s}} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right); 0 \leq j, s \leq n-1 \right)}(a); 1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} \leq m \right\} \\ &\geq \min \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \nu_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_j}} \left(\frac{H_j(f)}{H_n(f)} \right)}(a) \\ &\geq t_1 + \dots + t_\ell - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^q \nu_{H_i(f)}(a) - \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)}^{[1]}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^q \nu_{H_i(f)}(a) - \nu_{\prod_{i=0}^q H_i(f)}^{[1]}(a) \leq \nu_W(a).$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $M = \mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$) be a complete connected Kähler manifold. Let k be a positive integer and for each $u \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let f^u be a differentiably nondegenerate meromorphic mapping from M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, which satisfies the condition (C_ρ) and has a reduced representation $f^u = (f_0^u : \dots : f_n^u)$. Let $\{H_1^u, \dots, H_{q_u}^u\}$ ($1 \leq u \leq k$) be k families of hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position, where q_1, \dots, q_k are positive integers. Assume that there exists a non zero holomorphic function h on $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}_0)$ such that:*

- (a) $|h| \leq C \|f^1\|^{p_1} \dots \|f^k\|^{p_k}$, where C is a positive constants,
- (b) $\nu_h \geq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^{q_u} H_i^u(f^u)}$, where λ_u ($1 \leq u \leq k$) are positive constants.

Then there is an index u such that $\lambda_u(q_u - n - 1) - p_u \leq 0$, or

$$\sum_{u=1}^k (\lambda_u(q_u - n - 1) - p_u) \leq 2n\rho \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u.$$

Proof. Suppose contrarily that $\lambda_u(q_u - n - 1) - p_u > 0$, for all $u = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$\sum_{u=1}^k (\lambda_u(q_u - n - 1) - p_u) > 2n\rho \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u.$$

Case 1: $R_0 = +\infty$. By the second main theorem in Nevanlinna theory we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u (q_u - n - 1) T_{f^u}(r, 1) &\leq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u N_{\prod_{i=1}^{q_u} H_i^u(f^u)}^{[1]}(r, 1) + o\left(\sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r, 1)\right) \\ &\leq N_h(r, 1) + o\left(\sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r, 1)\right) \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^k p_u T_{f^u}(r, 1) + o\left(\sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r, 1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in (1, +\infty)$ outside a Lebesgue set of finite measure. This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $R_0 < +\infty$. We suppose that $R_0 = 1$. In this case $\rho > 0$. For each u ($1 \leq u \leq k$), choose $(\alpha_0^u, \dots, \alpha_n^u) \in (\mathbb{N}^m)^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha_0^u| = 0, |\alpha_i^u| = 1$ ($1 \leq u \leq n$) such that

$$W(f^u) := \det \left(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i^u}(f_j^u); 0 \leq i, j \leq n \right) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\nu_h \geq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^{q_u} H_i^u(f^u)}^{[1]} \geq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q_u} \nu_{H_i^u(f^u)} - \nu_{W(f^u)} \right).$$

We put $w_u(z) := z^{\alpha_0^u + \dots + \alpha_n^u} \frac{W(f^u)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q_u} H_i^u(f^u)}$ for every $1 \leq u \leq k$,

$t := \frac{2\rho}{\sum_{u=1}^k (\lambda_u (q_u - n - 1) - p_u)} > 0$ and $\phi := |w_1|^{\lambda_1} \dots |w_k|^{\lambda_k} \cdot |h|$. Then $a = t \log \phi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$ and $(\sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u) n t < 1$. Therefore, we may choose a positive number p such that $0 < (\sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u) n t < p < 1$.

Since f^u satisfies the condition (C_ρ) , there is a continuous plurisubharmonic function φ_u on $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$ such that

$$e^{\varphi_u} dV \leq \|f^u\|^{2\rho} v_m.$$

Then the function $\varphi = \lambda'_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \lambda'_k \varphi_k + a$ is a plurisubharmonic on $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$, where $\lambda'_u = \frac{(\lambda_u (q_u - n - 1) - p_u) t}{2\rho}$. One has $\sum_{u=1}^k \lambda'_u = 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} e^\varphi dV &= e^{\lambda'_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \lambda'_k \varphi_k + t \log \phi} dV \\ &\leq C' \cdot e^{t \log \phi} \cdot \prod_{u=1}^k \|f^u\|^{2\lambda'_u \rho} v_m = C' \cdot |\phi|^t \cdot \prod_{u=1}^k \|f^u\|^{2\lambda'_u \rho} v_m \\ &= C'' \cdot \prod_{u=1}^k (|w_u|^{\lambda_u t} \|f^u\|^{2\lambda'_u \rho + p_u t}) v_m = C'' \cdot \prod_{u=1}^k (|w_u| \cdot \|f^u\|^{(q_u - n - 1)t \lambda_u}) v_m, \end{aligned}$$

where C', C'' are positive constants. Put $x_u = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i}{\lambda_u^m}$. Then $\sum_{u=1}^k \frac{1}{x_u} = 1$. Integrating both sides of the above inequality over $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$ and applying Hölder inequality, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^\varphi dV \leq C'' \prod_{u=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} (|w_u| \cdot \|f^u\|^{(q_u-n-1)\lambda_u t x_u} v_m) \right)^{1/x_u} \\ = C'' \prod_{u=1}^k \left(2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{\|z\|=r} (|w_u| \cdot \|f^u\|^{(q_u-n-1)\lambda_u t x_u} \sigma_m) \right) dr \right)^{1/x_u}.$$

Subcase 2.a: We suppose that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} < \infty.$$

We see that $\lambda_u t x_u n = (\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) n t < p$. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a positive constant K such that, for every $0 < r_0 < r < R < 1$, we have

$$\int_{\|z\|=r} (|w_u| \cdot \|f^u\|^{(q_u-n-1)\lambda_u x_u t} \sigma_m) \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_{f^u}(R, r_0) \right)^p \quad (1 \leq u \leq k).$$

Choosing $R = r + \frac{1-r}{e \max_{1 \leq u \leq k} T_{f^u}(r, r_0)}$, we have $T_{f^u}(R, r_0) \leq 2T_{f^u}(r, r_0)$, for all r outside a subset E of $(0, 1]$ with $\int_E \frac{1}{1-r} dr < +\infty$. Then, the above inequality implies that

$$\int_{\|z\|=r} (|w_u| \cdot \|f^u\|^{(q_u-n-1)\lambda_u t x_u} \sigma_m) \leq \frac{K'}{(1-r)^p} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^p \quad (1 \leq u \leq k),$$

for all r outside E , and for some positive constant K' . The inequality (3.1) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^\varphi dV \leq C'' 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \frac{K'}{(1-r)^p} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^p dr < +\infty.$$

This contradicts the results of S.T. Yau [10] and L. Karp [5].

Subcase 2.b: We suppose that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} = \infty.$$

By [3, Proposition 6.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u=1}^k p_u T_{f^u}(r, r_0) &\geq N_h(r, r_0) + S(r) \geq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_p N_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_i^{f^u}}^{[1]}(r, r_0) + S(r) \\ &\geq \sum_{u=1}^k \lambda_u (q_u - n - 1) T_{f^u}(r, r_0) + O\left(\log^+ \frac{1}{1-r} + \log^+ \sum_{u=1}^k T_{f^u}(r_0, r)\right), \end{aligned}$$

for every r excluding a set E with $\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty$. This is a contradiction.

Hence, the supposition is false. The lemma is proved.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.1] Since the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{B}(R_0)$, $0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$, by using the universal covering if necessary, without loss of generality we assume that $M = B(R_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$ and $g = (g_0 : \cdots : g_n)$ be reduced representations of f and g , respectively. Suppose contrarily that $f \not\equiv g$. Then there exists

$$P := f_i g_j - f_j g_i \not\equiv 0.$$

(a) Choose $\lambda > 1$ is an arbitrary rational number. Since $f = g$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^q f^{-1}(H_i)$, we have

$$\nu_P \geq \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} = (\nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} - \lambda \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^p H_j(f)}^{[1]}) + \lambda \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^{n+3} H_j(g)}^{[1]}.$$

Take a positive integer k so that $k\lambda$ is an integer and consider the holomorphic function $\tilde{P} = P^k \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p H_j(f)^{k\lambda}$. It is clear that

$$\nu_{\tilde{P}} \geq k \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} + k \lambda \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^{n+3} H_j(g)}^{[1]}$$

and $|\tilde{P}| \leq C \|f\|^{(1+(n+2)\lambda)k} \|g\|^k$ for a positive constant C . Applying Lemma 3.2 to the function \tilde{P} , we have one of the following:

- $(q - n - 1) \leq 1 + (n + 2)\lambda$,
- $(q - n - 1) - (1 + (n + 2)\lambda) + \lambda(n + 3 - n - 1) - 1 \leq 2n\rho(1 + \lambda)$.

Let $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, we get $q \leq 2n + 5 + 4n\rho$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $f \equiv g$ in this case.

(b) Choose $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is an arbitrary rational number. Similarly as above, we have

$$\nu_P \geq \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} \geq (1 - \beta) \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} + \beta \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^{n+2} H_j(g)}^{[1]}.$$

Take a positive integer ℓ so that $\ell\beta$ is an integer and consider the holomorphic function P^ℓ . One has

$$\nu_{P^\ell} \geq \ell(1 - \beta) \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^q H_j(f)}^{[1]} + \ell\beta \nu_{\prod_{j=1}^{n+2} H_j(g)}^{[1]}$$

and $|P^\ell| \leq C' \|f\|^\ell \|g\|^\ell$ for a positive constant C' . By Lemma 3.2, one of the following must hold:

- $(1 - \beta)(q - n - 1) \leq 1$,
- $(1 - \beta)(q - n - 1) - 1 + (2\beta - 1) \leq 2\rho(n(1 - \beta) + n\beta)$.

Let $\beta \rightarrow 1/2$, we get $q \leq n + 3 + 2n\rho$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $f \equiv g$ in this case.

The theorem is proved.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.2] Let $f^u = (f_0^u : \dots : f_n^u)$ be a reduced representation of f^u for each $1 \leq u \leq k$. Suppose contrarily that $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge \dots \wedge f^k \neq 0$. Then there exists $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ such that

$$P = \det(f_{i_j}^u)_{1 \leq u, j \leq k} \neq 0.$$

For a regular point z of the analytic set $\bigcup_{i=1}^q (f^1)^{-1}(H_i)$ and not in the indeterminacy locus of f^u ($1 \leq u \leq k$), we have $f^{i_1}(z) \wedge \dots \wedge f^{i_\ell}(z) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq k$. Then by Lemma 2.1, z is a zero of P with multiplicity at least $k - \ell + 1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_P &\geq (k - \ell + 1) \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f^1)}^{[1]} \\ &= (k - \ell + 1) \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f^1)}^{[1]} - (k - 1) \lambda \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^p H_j(f^1)}^{[1]} + \lambda \sum_{u=2}^k \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^p H_j(f^1)}^{[1]}, \end{aligned}$$

for every positive rational number $\lambda > \frac{1}{p-n-1}$. Let K be a positive integer such that $K\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider the holomorphic function $G = P^K \prod_{i=1}^p H_j(f^1)^{K(k-1)}$. It is clear that

$$\nu_G \geq K(k - \ell + 1) \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^q H_j(f^1)}^{[1]} + K\lambda \sum_{u=2}^k \nu_{\prod_{i=1}^p H_j(f^1)}^{[1]}$$

and $|G| \leq C \|f^1\|^{K+pK(k-1)\lambda} \|f^2\|^K \dots \|f^k\|^K$ for a positive constant C . By Lemma 3.2, one of the following must hold:

- $(k - \ell + 1)(q - n - 1) \leq 1 + p(k - 1)\lambda$,
- $(k - \ell + 1)(q - n - 1) - 1 - p(k - 1)\lambda + (k - 1)(\lambda(p - n - 1) - 1) \leq 2n\rho(k - \ell + 1 + (k - 1)\lambda)$.

Let $\lambda \rightarrow 1/(p-n-1)$, we get $q \leq n+1 + \frac{1}{k-\ell+1} \left(1 + \frac{p(k-1)}{p-n-1}\right) + 2n\rho \left(1 + \frac{(k-1)}{(k-\ell+1)(p-n-1)}\right)$. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, we must have $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge \dots \wedge f^k \equiv 0$. The theorem is proved.

Data availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

References

- [1] **S. J. Drouilhet**, *A unicity theorem for meromorphic mappings between algebraic varieties*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **265** (1981), 349–358.
- [2] **H. Fujimoto**, *The uniqueness problem of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space*, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 58 (1975), 1–23.
- [3] **H. Fujimoto**, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic mappings from complete Kähler manifolds into $\mathbb{P}^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$* , *Japan. J. Math.* **11** (1985), 233–264.
- [4] **H. Fujimoto**, *A unicity theorem for meromorphic maps of a complete Kähler manifold into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$* , *Tohoku Math. J.* **38** (1986), 327–341.
- [5] **L. Karp**, *Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds*, *Math. Z.* **179** (1982) 535–554.
- [6] **S. D. Quang**, *Finiteness problem for meromorphic mappings sharing $n+3$ hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$* , *Annal. Polon. Math.* **112** (2014), 195–215
- [7] **S. D. Quang**, *Algebraic dependence and finiteness problems of differentially nondegenerate meromorphic mappings on Kähler manifolds*, *Anal. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Seria Mat.* **30** (1) (2022), 271–294.

- [8] **S. D. Quang**, *Meromorphic mappings on Kähler manifolds weakly sharing hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$* , Preprint, arXiv:2405.06268 [math.CV].
- [9] **W. Stoll**, *On the propagation of dependences*, Pacific J. Math. **139** (1989), 311–337.
- [10] **S. T. Yau**, *Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry*, Indiana U. Math. J. **25** (1976), 659–670.
- [11] **K. Zhou and L. Jin**, *Improvement of the uniqueness theorems of meromorphic maps of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$* , Comp. Var. Elliptic Equat. **67** (2022), 1244–1261.

Si Duc Quang

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education, 136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay
Hanoi
Vietnam
quangsd@hnue.edu.vn

Tran Duc Ngoc

Faculty of Mathematics and Applications, Saigon University, 273 An Duong Vuong, Ward 3, Dist.5
Ho Chi Minh city
Vietnam
ncs_02222001@sgu.edu.vn; tdngoc@moet.edu.vn

Do Thi Thuy Hang

Division of Mathematics, Thang Long University, Nghiem Xuan Yem, Hoang Mai
Hanoi
Vietnam
hangdtt@thanglong.edu.vn