

## ON THE EQUATION $F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K$

**I. Katai** (Budapest, Hungary)

**B. M. M. Khanh** (Budapest, Hungary)

**B. M. Phong** (Budapest, Hungary)

(Received 10 March 2022; accepted 20 May 2022)

**Abstract.** We give all solutions of the equation

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K \quad (\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the sum of two fixed squares,  $K \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $F, H$  are completely multiplicative functions.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{N}_0, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of primes, non-negative integers, positive integers, integers and complex numbers, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  ( $\mathcal{M}^*$ ) be the set of all multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions, respectively. For  $D \in \mathbb{N}, D \geq 2$  we denote by  $\chi_D^*(n)$  the principal Dirichlet character and by  $\chi_D(n)$  the non-principal Dirichlet character (mod  $D$ ). For numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we denote by  $(x, y)$  the greatest common divisor of  $x$  and  $y$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\bar{n} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be such that  $\bar{n} \equiv n \pmod{4}$ .

Furthermore, we define the sets  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{n^2 + m^2 \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{2, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 20, 25, \dots\}$$

---

*Key words and phrases:* Arithmetical function, equation of functions.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* primary 11A07, 11A25, secondary 11N25, 11N64

and

$$\mathcal{E} = \{n^2 + m^2 > 0 \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 25, \dots\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

In 1996 P. V. Chung [2] characterized all multiplicative functions  $f$  satisfying the equation

$$f(m^2 + n^2) = f(n^2) + f(m^2) \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

P. V. Chung proved that there are only two possible categories of solutions, the first of which contains the identity function

In 2014 B. Bojan [1] determined all  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which

$$f(n^2 + m^2) = f^2(n) + f^2(m) \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

holds.

Poo-Sung Park in [14] and [15] proved that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 3$  satisfy one of following two conditions

$$f(x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2) = f(x_1)^2 + \dots + f(x_k)^2$$

or

$$f(x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2) = f(x_1^2) + \dots + f(x_k^2)$$

for all positive integers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ , then  $f$  is the identity function.

I. Kátai and B. M. Phong proved in [5] that if the sets

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \{m^2 \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the arithmetical functions  $f : \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $h : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the equation

$$f(a + n^2) = g(a) + h(n^2) \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then the assumption  $8\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$  implies that there is a complex number  $A$  such that

$$g(a) = Aa + \bar{g}(a), \quad h(n^2) = An^2 + \bar{h}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad f(a + n^2) = A(a + n^2) + \bar{g}(a) + \bar{h}(n)$$

hold for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(a) &= \bar{g}(b) \quad \text{if } a \equiv b \pmod{120}, \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}), \\ \bar{h}(n) &= \bar{h}(m) \quad \text{if } n \equiv m \pmod{60}, \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

are true.

B.M.M.Khanh [12] determined all solutions of the equation

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + k) = f(n)^2 + f(m)^2 + K \quad (\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ . In [10] and [11] she gave all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfy the equation

$$f(n^2 + Dm^2 + k) = f(n)^2 + Df(m)^2 + k \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The conjecture of I. Kátai and B. M. Phong formulated in [6] was proved by B. M. M. Khanh in [10].

In [7] and [8] I. Kátai and B. M. Phong gave all arithmetical functions  $f, h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which satisfy the relations

$$f(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + k) = h(a) + h(b) + h(c) + h(d) + K$$

for every  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Recently, in [9] I. Kátai and B. M. Phong gave all functions  $f, h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfy the relation

$$f(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + k) = h(a) + h(b) + h(c) + K$$

for every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In this paper we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *The numbers  $k \in \mathcal{E}, K \in \mathbb{C}$  and the functions  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equation*

$$(1.1) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

*if and only if one of the following assertions holds:*

- (T1)  $K = k, H(m) = m^2, F(n) = n,$
- (T2)  $K = -1, H(m) = 1, F(n) = 1,$
- (T3)  $K = -2, H(m) = 1, F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = 0,$
- (T4)  $K = -1, k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, H(m) = \chi_3^*(m), F(n) = \chi_3(n)$

*for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\chi_3^*(m) \pmod{3}$  is the principal Dirichlet character and  $\chi_3(m) \pmod{3}$  is the non-principal Dirichlet character, i.e  $\chi_3^*(0) = 0, \chi_3^*(1) = 1, \chi_3^*(2) = 1, \chi_3(0) = 0, \chi_3(1) = 1, \chi_3(2) = -1.$*

By Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 1.** *If the numbers  $k \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2\}$  and the functions  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equation*

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$K = k, \quad H(m) = m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad F(n) = n \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

## 2. Lemmas.

Assume that the functions  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and the numbers  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, K \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the equation (1.1). Since  $H \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we have  $H(1) = 1$ ,  $H(4) = H(2)^2$  and  $H(6) = H(2)H(3)$ .

We shall use the following results due to the second author:

**Lemma 1.** (B. M. M. Khanh [13], Lemma 6). *We have*

$$\begin{cases} H(7) &= 2H(5) - 1 \\ H(8) &= 2H(5) + H(2)^2 - 2 \\ H(9) &= H(2)H(3) + 2H(5) - H(2) - 1 \\ H(10) &= H(2)H(3) + 3H(5) - H(3) - 2 \\ H(11) &= H(2)H(3) + 4H(5) - H(3) - H(2) - 2 \\ H(12) &= H(2)H(3) + 4H(5) + H(2)^2 - H(2) - 4 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(\ell + 12m) &= H(\ell + 9m) + H(\ell + 8m) + H(\ell + 7m) - \\ &\quad - H(\ell + 5m) - H(\ell + 4m) - H(\ell + 3m) + H(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

holds for every  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.** (B. M. M. Khanh [13], Lemma 7). *Let*

$$\begin{cases} A &= \frac{1}{120} \left( H(2)H(3) + 4H(5) - H(3) - H(2) - 3 \right), \\ \Gamma_2 &= \frac{-1}{8} \left( H(2)H(3) - 4H(5) + 4H(2)^2 - H(3) + 3H(2) - 3 \right), \\ \Gamma_3 &= \frac{-1}{3} \left( H(2)H(3) - 2H(5) + 2H(3) - H(2) \right), \\ \Gamma_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \left( H(2)H(2) - 2H(2)^2 - H(3) + H(2) + 1 \right), \\ \Gamma_5 &= \frac{1}{5} \left( H(2)H(3) - H(5) - H(3) - H(2) + 2 \right), \\ \Gamma &= \frac{1}{4} \left( H(2)H(3) - 4H(5) + 2H(2)^2 + 3H(3) + H(2) + 1 \right), \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(\ell) = \Gamma_2\chi_2^*(\ell) + \Gamma_3\chi_3^*(\ell) + \Gamma_4\chi_4(\ell - 1) + \Gamma_5\chi_5(\ell) + \Gamma,$$

where  $\chi_2^*(\ell) \pmod{2}$ ,  $\chi_3^*(\ell) \pmod{3}$  are the principal Dirichlet characters and  $\chi_4(\ell) \pmod{4}$ ,  $\chi_5(\ell) \pmod{5}$  are the real, non-principal Dirichlet characters.

Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad H(n) = An^2 + \mathcal{S}(n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Lemma 3.** Assume that the numbers  $k \in \mathcal{E}$  and the function  $G \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equation

$$G(n^2 + m^2 + k) = 1 \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $G(n) = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** This lemma follows from Theorem 1.1 of Fehér J., K.-H. Indlekofer and N. M. Timofeev [4] (see also Fehér J. and I. Kátai [3]).

**Lemma 4.** If the numbers  $k \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{C}$  and the functions  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equations

$$H(m) = 1 \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K = 2 + K \quad (\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then one of the assertions (T2), (T3) of Theorem 1 holds.

**Proof.** Assume that  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy (2.2). Since

$$(k + 25)^2 + 25 + k = (k + 25)(k + 26) \quad \text{and} \quad 25 = 5^2 = 3^2 + 4^2, \quad 26 = 1^2 + 5^2,$$

by using the facts  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we infer from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + K &= F\left((k + 25)^2 + 5^2 + k\right) = F(3^2 + 4^2 + k)F(1^2 + 5^2 + k) = \\ &= (2 + K)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $K \in \{-1, -2\}$ .

If  $K = -1$ , then we infer from (2.2) that

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = 2 + K = 1 \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so Lemma 3 with  $G = F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  proves that  $F(n) = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus the case (T2) holds.

If  $K = -2$ , then we infer from (2.2) that

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = 2 + K = 0 \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so the case (T3) holds.

Lemma 4 is thus proved.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ . Assume that the numbers  $k \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{C}$  and the functions  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equations*

$$(2.3) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K \quad \text{and} \quad H(m) = \chi_p^*(m),$$

where  $\chi_p^* \pmod{p}$  is the principal Dirichlet character. Then  $p = 3$  and the assertion (T4) of Theorem 1 holds.

**Proof.** Since

$$(k+25)^2 + 25 + k = (k+25)(k+26) \quad \text{and} \quad (k+900)^2 + 900 + k = (k+900)(k+901),$$

we infer from  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and (2.3) that

$$F((k+25)^2 + 5^2 + k) = F(3^2 + 4^2 + k)F(1^2 + 5^2 + k)$$

and

$$F((k+900)^2 + 30^2 + k) = F(18^2 + 24^2 + k)F(1^2 + 30^2 + k),$$

which with (2.3) imply that

$$\begin{cases} H(k+1) &= -\chi_p^*(5) - K + (\chi_p^*(3) + \chi_p^*(4) + K)(1 + \chi_p^*(5) + K) = \\ &= -1 - K + (1 + K)(2 + K) = (K + 1)^2 \\ H(k) &= -\chi_p^*(30) - K + (\chi_p^*(18) + \chi_p^*(24) + K)(1 + \chi_p^*(30) + K) = \\ &= -K + K(1 + K) = K^2. \end{cases}$$

In the above steps we use the fact  $\chi_p^*(3) + \chi_p^*(4) = 1$ ,  $\chi_p^*(5) = 1$  if  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ . Since  $H(m) = \chi_p^*(m) \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have  $H(k) = K^2 \neq (K + 1)^2 = H(k + 1)$ , consequently

$$\left( H(k), H(k+1) \right) = \left( K^2, (K + 1)^2 \right) \in \left\{ (1, 0), (0, 1) \right\}.$$

These imply that either

$$k \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad K = -1$$

or

$$k \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad K = 0.$$

We distinguish the proof for four cases according to  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ .

**The case I:**  $p = 2$ ,  $K = -1$ ,  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $H(m) = \chi_2^*(m)$ .

We shall prove that this case does not occur.

In this case, we have

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = \chi_2^*(n) + \chi_2^*(m) - 1 \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear to check that

$$\chi_2^*(n) + \chi_2^*(m) = \overline{n^2 + m^2} \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

consequently

$$(2.4) \quad F(\eta + k) = \bar{\eta} - 1 \quad \text{for every } \eta \in \mathcal{B},$$

where  $\bar{\eta} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $\eta \equiv \bar{\eta} \pmod{4}$ .

Since  $k \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $k\eta \in \mathcal{B}$  for every  $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$ , and so it follows from (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad F(k)F(\eta + 1) = F(k\eta + k) = \overline{k\eta} - 1 = \bar{\eta} - 1 \quad \text{for every } \eta \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In the last relation we use  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , because  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $k \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $2 = 1^2 + 1^2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $8 = 2^2 + 2^2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , we obtain from (2.5) that

$$F(k)F(3) = \bar{2} - 1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(k)F(3)^2 = F(k)F(8 + 1) = \bar{8} - 1 = -1.$$

These imply that

$$(2.6) \quad F(3) = F(k) = -1$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad F(\eta + 1) = 1 - \bar{\eta} \quad \text{for every } \eta \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In the next part we deduce from (2.7) that

$$(2.8) \quad F(n) = \chi_4(n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\chi_4(n)$  denotes the non-principal Dirichlet character  $\pmod{4}$ . This relation with  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  implies that  $F(k) = 1$ , which contradicts to (2.6).

Since  $F(3) = -1$ , it follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(2) &= -F(2)F(3) = -F(6) = -F(1^2 + 2^2 + 1) = -(1 - \bar{5}) = 0, \\ F(7) &= -F(3)F(7) = -F(21) = -F(2^2 + 4^2 + 1) = -(1 - \bar{20}) = -1, \\ F(5) &= -F(5)F(7) = -F(35) = -F(3^2 + 5^2 + 1) = -(1 - \bar{34}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that  $F(n) = \chi_4(n)$  for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ .

Now assume that  $F(n) = \chi_4(n)$  for all  $n < P$ , where  $P \geq 11$ . We will prove that  $F(P) = \chi_4(P)$ . Since  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we may assume that  $P = p \in \mathcal{P}$ . One can check that there are  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n^2 + m^2 + 1 = pQ, \text{ where } Q < p, (Q, 2) = 1.$$

Then  $n \equiv m \pmod{2}$ ,  $\chi_4(pQ) = (-1)^n$  and

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + 1) = 1 - \overline{n^2 + m^2} = (-1)^n = \chi_4(pQ),$$

consequently we infer from our assumptions and from (2.7) that

$$F(p) = \frac{F(pQ)}{F(Q)} = \frac{F(n^2 + m^2 + 1)}{F(Q)} = \frac{\chi_4(pQ)}{\chi_4(Q)} = \chi_4(p).$$

This relation shows that  $F(n) = \chi_4(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus the case I does not occur.

**The case II:**  $p = 3$ ,  $K = -1$ ,  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $H(m) = \chi_3^*(m)$ .

In this case, we have

$$F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = \chi_3^*(n) + \chi_3^*(m) - 1 \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear to check that

$$\chi_3^*(n) + \chi_3^*(m) - 1 = \chi_3(n^2 + m^2 + 2) \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

consequently

$$(2.9) \quad F(\eta + k) = \chi_3(\eta + 2) = \chi_3(\eta + k) \quad \text{for every } \eta \in \mathcal{B},$$

where  $\chi_3(n)$  is the non-principal character  $\pmod{3}$ , i. e.  $\chi_3(0) = 0, \chi_3(1) = 1, \chi_3(2) = -1$ .

Let us note that for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$  the condition  $\alpha\eta \notin \mathcal{B}$  may hold only in the case  $\alpha = 2u^2$  and  $\eta = 2v^2$ . Thus, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \eta(n^2 + m^2) \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{for every } \eta \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m.$$

Consequently, we have  $13k = (2^2 + 3^2)k \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $25k = (4^2 + 5^2)k \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $90k = (3^2 + 9^2)k \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $97k = (4^2 + 9^2)k \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus, we infer from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(k)F(2)F(7) &= F(k)F(14) = F(13k + k) = \chi_3(13k + k) = \\ &= \chi_3(k)\chi_3(14) = \chi_3(2)\chi_3(2)\chi_3(7) = 1, \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} F(k)F(2)F(13) &= F(k)F(26) = F(25k + k) = \chi_3(25k + k) = \\ &= \chi_3(k)\chi_3(26) = \chi_3(2)^2\chi_3(13) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(k)F(7)F(13) &= F(k)F(91) = F(90k + k) = \chi_3(90k + k) = \\ &= \chi_3(k)\chi_3(91) = \chi_3(2)\chi_3(1) = -1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(k)F(2)F(7)^2 &= F(k)F(98) = F(97k + k) = \chi_3(97k + k) = \\ &= \chi_3(k)\chi_3(98) = \chi_3(2)\chi_3(2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We infer from these relations that

$$F(7) = 1, F(2) = -1, F(13) = 1 \text{ and } F(k) = -1,$$

which with (2.9) and (2.10) implies

(2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} F(n^2 + m^2 + 1) &= -F(k)F(n^2 + m^2 + 1) = -F(k(n^2 + m^2) + k) = \\ &= -\chi_3(k(n^2 + m^2) + k) = -\chi_3(k)\chi_3(n^2 + m^2 + 1) = \\ &= \chi_3(n^2 + m^2 + 1) \text{ for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(2) = -1$  and  $F(7) = 1$ , it follows from (2.11) that

$$F(3) = -F(2)F(3) = -F(6) = -F(1^2 + 2^2 + 1) = -\chi_3(6) = 0$$

and

$$F(5) = F(5)F(7) = F(35) = F(3^2 + 5^2 + 1) = \chi_3(35) = -1.$$

Thus we have proved that  $F(n) = \chi_3(n)$  for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ .

Now assume that  $F(n) = \chi_3(n)$  for all  $n < P$ , where  $P \geq 11$ . We will prove that  $F(P) = \chi_3(P)$ . Since  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we may assume that  $P = p \in \mathcal{P}$ . One can check that there are  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m$  such that

$$n^2 + m^2 + 1 = pQ, \text{ where } Q < p, (Q, 3) = 1.$$

Then we infer from our assumptions and from (2.11) that

$$F(p) = \frac{F(pQ)}{F(Q)} = \frac{F(n^2 + m^2 + 1)}{F(Q)} = \frac{\chi_3(pQ)}{\chi_3(Q)} = \chi_3(p).$$

This relation shows that  $F(n) = \chi_3(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , therefore (T4) is thus proved.

**The case III:**  $p = 2$ ,  $K = 0$ ,  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ,  $H(m) = \chi_2^*(m)$ .

We prove that this case does not occur. Indeed, we have

$$F(8+k) = F(2^2 + 2^2 + k) = \chi_2^*(2) + \chi_2^*(2) + K = 0,$$

which implies that  $F(2) = 0$  or  $F(Q) = 0$ , where  $Q|k+8$ ,  $(Q, 2) = 1$ . The case  $F(2) = 0$  is not occur, because

$$0 = F(2)F\left(1 + \frac{k}{2}\right) = F\left(1^2 + 1^2 + k\right) = \chi_2^*(1) + \chi_2^*(1) + K = 2.$$

If  $F(Q) = 0$ ,  $(Q, 2) = 1$ , then there are  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n^2 + m^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad (n, 2) = (m, 2) = 1.$$

Then

$$0 = F(Q)F\left(\frac{n^2 + m^2 + k}{Q}\right) = F\left(n^2 + m^2 + k\right) = \chi_2^*(n) + \chi_2^*(m) + K = 2,$$

which is impossible.

**The case IV:**  $p = 3$ ,  $K = 0$ ,  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $H(m) = \chi_3^*(m)$ .

We prove that this case does not occur.

Indeed, we have

$$(k+3)^2 + 1 + k = (k+2)(k+5),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \chi_3^*(k+3) + \chi_3^*(1) + K - (\chi_3^*(1) + \chi_3^*(1) + K)(\chi_3^*(1) + \chi_3^*(2) + K) = \\ &= 1 - 2^2 = -3. \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible.

Lemma 5 is proved.

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there isn't a function  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  such*

$$(2.12) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = \chi_5(n) + \chi_5(m) + K \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\chi_5(n)$  is the Dirichlet non-principal character  $\pmod{5}$ .

**Proof.** Assume in the contradiction that there is  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  such that (2.12) is true.

We infer from the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}(k+25)^2 + 25 + k &= (k+25)(k+26), \\ (k+169)^2 + 169 + k &= (k+169)(k+170), \\ (k+676)^2 + 676 + k &= (k+676)(k+677)\end{aligned}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}F\left((k+25)^2 + 25 + k\right) - F(k+25)F(k+26) &= \\ &= \chi_5(k) + \chi_5(5) + K - (\chi_5(3) + \chi_5(4) + K)(\chi_5(1) + \chi_5(5) + K) = \\ &= \chi_5(k) + K - K(1+K) = \chi_5(k) - K^2 = 0, \\ F\left((k+169)^2 + 169 + k\right) - F(k+169)F(k+170) &= \\ &= \chi_5(k+4) + \chi_5(13) + K - (\chi_5(5) + \chi_5(12) + K)(\chi_5(1) + \chi_5(13) + K) = \\ &= \chi_5(k+4) - 1 + K - (-1+K)K = \chi_5(k+4) - (K-1)^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}F\left((k+676)^2 + 676 + k\right) - F(k+676)F(k+677) &= \\ &= \chi_5(k+1) + \chi_5(26) + K - (\chi_5(10) + \chi_5(24) + K)(\chi_5(1) + \chi_5(26) + K) = \\ &= \chi_5(k+1) + 1 + K - (1+K)(2+K) = \chi_5(k+1) - (K+1)^2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

These imply that

$$\left(\chi_5(k), \chi_5(k+1), \chi_5(k+4)\right) = \left(K^2, (K+1)^2, (K-1)^2\right).$$

Since  $\chi_5(n) \in \{1, -1, -1, 1, 0\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\chi_5(k), \chi_5(k+1), \chi_5(k+4)\right) &= \left(K^2, (K+1)^2, (K-1)^2\right) \in \\ &\in \left\{(1, -1, 0), (-1, -1, 1), (-1, 1, -1), (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, 1)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

This relation is true in the following case:

$$(2.13) \quad k \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad K = 0, \quad \chi_5(k) = 0, \quad \chi_5(k+1) = \chi_5(k+4) = 1.$$

Since

$$(k+10)^2 + 4 + k = (k+13)(k+8),$$

and so from (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= F\left((k+10)^2 + 2^2 + k\right) - F(2^2 + 3^2 + k)F(2^2 + 2^2 + k) = \\
&= \left(\chi_5(k+10) + \chi_5(2) + K\right) - (\chi_5(2) + \chi_5(3) + K)(2\chi_5(2) + K) = \\
&= -1 - (-2)^2 = -5,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Lemma 6 is proved.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

It is easy to check that the functions defined in (T1), (T2), (T3) and (T4) satisfy the functional equation (1.1). Now we prove the "only if" part.

Assume that  $k \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $F, H \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy (1.1). Since  $H \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= H(nm) - H(n)H(m) = \\
&= A(nm)^2 + \mathcal{S}(nm) - \left((An^2 + \mathcal{S}(n))(Am^2 + \mathcal{S}(m))\right) = \\
&= (A - A^2)n^2m^2 - A\mathcal{S}(m)n^2 - A\mathcal{S}(n)m^2 + \mathcal{S}(nm) - \mathcal{S}(n)\mathcal{S}(m)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}(n)$  is an bounded function, the above equation shows that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} A^2 &= A \\ A\mathcal{S}(n) &= 0 \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathcal{S}(nm) &= \mathcal{S}(n)\mathcal{S}(m) \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

The first equation implies  $A \in \{0, 1\}$ .

a) Assume that  $A = 0$ . Then (2.1) implies that  $H(n) = \mathcal{S}(n)$ . It follows from  $A = 0$  and from the definitions of  $A$ , we have

$$H(5) = \frac{1}{4}(-H(2)H(3) + H(3) + H(2) + 3).$$

Since  $H \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we have  $H(1) = 1$ ,  $H(4) = H(2)^2$ ,  $H(6) = H(2)H(3)$ ,  $H(8) = H(2)^3$ ,  $H(9) = H(3)^2$ ,  $H(10) = H(2)H(5)$  and  $H(12) = H(2)^2H(3)$ . It can check from Lemma 1 that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} H(7) &= \frac{1}{2}(-H(2)H(3) + H(3) + H(2) + 1), \\ H(8) &= \frac{1}{2}(-H(2)H(3) + H(3) + H(2) - 1 + 2H(2)^2), \\ H(9) &= \frac{1}{2}(H(2)H(3) + H(3) - H(2) + 1), \\ H(10) &= \frac{1}{4}(H(2)H(3) - H(3) + 3H(2) + 1), \\ H(11) &= 1, \\ H(12) &= H(3) - 1 + H(2)^2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we infer from Lemma 2 that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(-H(2)H(3) + H(3) - H(2) + 3 - 2H(2)^2), \\ \Gamma_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(-H(2)H(3) - H(3) + H(2) + 1), \\ \Gamma_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(H(2)H(3) - 2H(2)^2 - H(3) + H(2) + 1), \\ \Gamma_5 &= \frac{1}{4}(H(2)H(3) - H(3) - H(2) + 1), \\ \Gamma &= \frac{1}{2}(H(2)H(3) + H(3) - 1 + H(2)^2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad H(\ell) = \mathcal{S}(\ell) := \Gamma_2\chi_2^*(\ell) + \Gamma_3\chi_3^*(\ell) + \Gamma_4\chi_4(\ell - 1) + \Gamma_5\chi_5(\ell) + \Gamma.$$

These imply that

$$\begin{cases} H(8) - H(2)^3 &= -\frac{1}{2}(H(2) - 1)(2H(2)^2 + H(3) - 1) = 0 \\ H(9) - H(3)^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(H(3) - 1)(-2H(3) + H(2) - 1) = 0 \\ H(10) - H(2)F(5) &= \frac{1}{4}(H(2) - 1)(H(2) + 1)(H(3) - 1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This system has four solutions

$$(H(2), H(3)) \in \{(1, 1), (0, 1), (1, 0), (-1, -1)\}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we distinguish the proof for four cases.

**Case (I):** Assume that  $H(2) = 1$ ,  $H(3) = 1$ . Then we infer from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$A = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4 = \Gamma_5 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = 1,$$

therefore it follows from (3.4) that  $H(m) = 1$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, Lemma 4 implies the proof of (T2) and (T3) of Theorem 1.

**Case (II):** Assume that  $H(2) = 0, H(3) = 1$ . Then we infer from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$A = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4 = \Gamma_5 = \Gamma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = 1,$$

therefore it follows from (3.4) that  $H(m) = \chi_2^*(m)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma 5 implies that this case does not occur.

**Case (III):** Assume that  $H(2) = 1, H(3) = 0$ . Then we infer from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$A = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4 = \Gamma_5 = \Gamma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_3 = 1,$$

therefore it follows from (3.4) that  $H(m) = \chi_3^*(m)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, Lemma 5 implies the proof of (T4) of Theorem 1.

**Case (IV):** Assume that  $H(2) = -1, H(3) = -1$ . Then we infer from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$A = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4 = \Gamma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_5 = 1,$$

therefore it follows from (3.4) that  $H(m) = \chi_5(m)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus, Lemma 6 implies that this case does not occur.

b) Assume now that  $A = 1$ . Then (3.1) implies that  $\mathcal{S}(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $H(m) = m^2$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain from (1.1) that

$$(3.5) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = n^2 + m^2 + K \quad \text{for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall prove that  $k = K$ . Since

$$(k + 25)^2 + 25 + k = (k + 25)(k + 26) \quad \text{and} \quad 25 = 5^2 = 3^2 + 4^3, 26 = 1^2 + 5^2,$$

we infer from (3.5), using the fact  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= F\left((k + 25)^2 + 5^2 + k\right) - F(k + 25)F(k + 26) = \\ &= (k + 25)^2 + 5^2 + K - (25 + K)(26 + K) = -(K + 50 + k)(K - k). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(k + 100)^2 + 100 + k = (k + 100)(k + 101) \quad \text{and} \quad 100 = 10^2 = 6^2 + 8^2, 101 = 1^2 + 10^2,$$

which with (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad 0 &= F\left((k+100)^2 + 100 + k\right) - F\left((k+100)(k+101)\right) = \\
 &= (k+100)^2 + 100 + K - (100+K)(101+K) = \\
 &= -(K+200+k)(K-k).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious from (3.6) and (3.7) that  $k = K$ .

Now let

$$G(n) := \frac{F(n)}{n}, \quad G \in \mathcal{M}^* \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we infer from (3.5) and  $k = K$  that  $G(n^2 + m^2 + 1) = 1$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , therefore Lemma 3 implies that  $G(n) = 1$  and  $F(n) = n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The proof of (T1) is finished.

Theorem 1 is proved.

## References

- [1] **Bojan Basic**, Characterization of arithmetic functions that preserve the sum-of-squares operation, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **30** (2014), Issue 4, pp 689–695.
- [2] **Chung P. V.**, Multiplicative functions satisfying the equation  $f(n^2 + m^2) = f(n^2) + f(m^2)$ , *Mathematica Slovaca*, **46** (1996), 165–171.
- [3] **Fehér J. and I. Kátai**, Sets of uniqueness for additive and multiplicative functions, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Math.*, **47** (2002), 3–16.
- [4] **Fehér J., K.-H. Indlekofer and N. M. Timofeev**, A set of uniqueness for completely additive arithmetic functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp.*, **21**(2004), 57–67.
- [5] **I. Kátai and B. M. Phong**, The functional equation  $f(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) = g(\mathcal{A}) + h(\mathcal{B})$ , *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp.*, **43** (2014), 287–301.

- 
- [6] **Kátai I. and B. M. Phong**, Some unsolved problems on arithmetical functions, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput.*, **44** (2015), 233-235.
- [7] **Kátai I. and B. M. Phong**, A characterization of functions using Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **52** (2021), 177-185.
- [8] **Kátai I. and B. M. Phong**, Arithmetical functions commutable with sums of squares, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Volume 27, 2021, Number 3, 143-154.
- [9] **Kátai I. and B. M. Phong**, Arithmetical functions commutable with sums of squares II, *Mathematica Pannonica New Series*, **27 /NS 1/** (2021) 2, 179-190.
- [10] **Khanh B. M. M.**, On the equation  $f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f(n)^2 + Df(m)^2$ , *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput.*, **44** (2015), 59-68.
- [11] **Khanh B. M. M.**, On conjecture concerning the functional equation, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **46** (2017) 123-135.
- [12] **Khanh B. M. M.**, A note on a result of B. Bojan, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **49** (2019), 285-297.
- [13] **Khanh B. M. M.**, On the equation  $f(n^2 + Dm^2 + k) = f(n)^2 + Df(m)^2 + k$ , *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **52** (2021), 217-241.
- [14] **Poo-Sung Park**, Multiplicative function commutable with sums of squares, *International Journal of Number Theory*, Vol. 14, No. 02 (2018), 469-478.
- [15] **Poo-Sung Park**, On k-additive uniqueness of the set of squares for multiplicative functions, *Aequationes mathematicae* volume 92 (2018), 487-495.



**Imre Kátai**

Department of Computer Algebra  
Faculty of Informatics  
Eötvös Loránd University  
H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary  
katai@inf.elte.hu

**B. M. M. Khanh**

Department of Computer Algebra  
Faculty of Informatics  
Eötvös Loránd University  
H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary  
mbuiminh@yahoo.com

**B. M. Phong**

Department of Computer Algebra  
Faculty of Informatics  
Eötvös Loránd University  
H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary  
bui@inf.elte.hu